

Kansas 2009 Capability Assessment Workshop Scale and Event Summary

Level of Event Factors

Participants from across the region are asked to work collaboratively in a multi-disciplinary/cross-governmental manner to provide a consensus response that represents the region's capability, basing their answers on the following factors:

- The region may not have adequate resources to sustain a response to the incident
- Local resources are or will become depleted, while the population continues to experience typical emergencies
- The incident has a measurable impact throughout the region in terms of threat to the population and economy of the region
- The region has or will be declared a state of emergency by the governor and will lead to a Federal Disaster declaration

Measurement Scale Directions

For each measure, please select the value on the scale that best represents how much progress your region has made with regard to the objective or criterion described by the question. "Progress" means development, advancement, or evolution in the ability to fulfill the objective or criterion. This table provides explanations and examples to help you use the scale. These descriptions may not fit your jurisdiction exactly, but should help you judge your progress accurately.

Label	No Progress	Limited Progress			Moderate Progress			Substantial Progress			Objective Achieved	Not Applicable
Explanation	Score of 0: Indicates that, while this aspect of the capability is applicable to the region, no progress has been made towards achieving the identified objective. This may be because there has been no activity in this area, or because insurmountable barriers exist.	Low – mid range: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preliminary efforts have been initiated. • Needs related to this objective have been recognized and the region is beginning to identify requirements in this area. • Few if any steps have been implemented successfully so far. Mid – upper range: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Region has analyzed needs, understands requirements, and has taken specific steps toward achieving the objective. • Steps may include initial plans to develop this aspect of the capability, allocation of resources, and identification personnel responsible for achievement of the objective. 			Low – mid range: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant efforts are under way but the objective has not yet been fulfilled. • Important gaps remain. • Challenges that could potentially undermine achievement exist and have not yet been resolved. Mid – upper range: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant efforts are under way and specific examples of progress in this area can be identified. • Strategies for closing gaps and overcoming barriers to success are being developed and initiated. 			Low – mid range: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efforts to achieve this objective are established and stable. • Some weaknesses or barriers that prevent success persist, but strategies to resolve them are documented and well under way. Mid – upper range: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efforts in this area are mature. • Few gaps or barriers to success remain. None are significant. • Evidence documenting this level of progress is readily available. Evidence may include After Action Reports from exercises or events where this aspect of capability was demonstrated. 			Score of 10: Indicates that the region has fully achieved this objective with regard to the capability. All barriers to success have been overcome. Strengths are robust and likely to be sustained. Evidence is readily available attesting to this level of achievement.	The aspect of the capability that this question describes is not applicable to the region.
Scale Value	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A

Once responses have been provided to the enclosed measures, this document is classified as "For Official Use Only" and must be treated in accordance with FOUO guidelines.